samlec gEEk spEEk

3 Tips to Reduce Cable-Braid Loop Error in Low-Impedance PDN Measurements

Istvan Novak | Samtec, Inc.



Power Integrity, the Big Picture

- Paradigm Shift #1: late 1990s
 - $_{\circ}~$ Frequency domain design and verification became common
 - Increased power >> requirements to measure milliohms
 - Horizontal incremental impedance was relatively small
 - $_{\circ}$ 3D interactions in PI measurements were small
- $_{\circ}$ Solution: VNA with Two-port Shunt-through connection
- Frequency and time-domain verifications lined up
- Paradigm Shift #2: early 2020s
 - 。 Requirements to measure microohms
 - 3D interactions in PI measurements become dominant
- Horizontal incremental impedance becomes relatively high
- Increasing gap between frequency and time-domain verification results (and mostly NOT because of nonlinearity)
- Solution: ???





"3 Design Tips for Power Distribution Networks," EDICON Online, October 4, 2023 and "Is Power Integrity the New Black Magic?," Cadence Design Forum, April 2021

Cadence Live Boston, September 12, 2023, and "3D Connection Artifacts in PDN Measurements," DesignCon 2023



Very Low Impedances; Be Aware

- Instrument trace noise may be the limit
- Always check before start measuring





The Cable Braid Error

- Braid loop error
- Shield leakage error



"Measuring Milliohms and Picohenrys," DesignCon 2000 "How the Braid Impedance of Instrumentation Cables Impact PI and SI Measurements," DesignCon 2019



Braid loop error and noise floor [Ohm] 1.E+0 Not-so-good shield 1.E-1 1.E-2 1.E-3 1.E-4 1.E-5 1.E-6 1.E-7 1.E+4 1.E+5 1.E+6 1.E+3 1.E+7 Frequency [Hz]



The Cable Braid Loop Error

Can we calibrate it out? NO

- The cable braid error is caused by the return-path resistance
- Flexible coax braid resistance may change with flexing
- Connector shell contact resistance may change with retorquing
- In general, it is not a good idea to try to calibrate out an error that is 40...60dB higher than the measured value



Tip 1: Reducing Cable Braid Error with Common-Mode Transformer





Goal: lower the braid cutoff frequency by increasing inductance more than resistance. Use common-mode transformer.



Tip 1: Reducing Cable Braid Error with Common-Mode Transformer



Picotest J2102B common-mode transformer



Home-made common-mode transformer





Tip 1: Reducing Cable Braid Error with Common-Mode Transformer

The good news: full two-port calibration still can be used Be aware:

- DC (current) bias may alter the inductance of the common-mode transformer
 - Measuring passive components (capacitors) with DC bias
 - Measuring active DUT
- AC (current) bias may alter the inductance of the common-mode transformer
 - Measuring DUTs with high source power



Eliminating Ground Loop

Transformer Differential amplifier



The ground loop can be opened up by

- Differentially sensing or transmitting the DUT voltage, or
- Boosting the test current at the DUT





The large common-mode rejection ratio of the Picotest J2114A Prototype Isolator eliminates the DC potential lift

Figure 5 from "Extreme Measurements, Part 3," SignalIntegrity Journal, April 2024





Load stepper visual from https://www.picotest.com/products_transientload-steppers.html



By boosting the test current locally at the DUT, we can minimize the drive current



TLT Full PCB Implementation

 Side and top views of 16 TLT circuits implemented in CPU BGA plug-in PCB for parallel operation



SEPTEMBER 11-13, 2017

"Transient Load Tester for Time Domain PDN Analysis," EDICON 2017

21



Connection options for small-signal amplifiers





"Preamplifier Options for Reducing Cable-Braid Loop Error," http://www.electrical-integrity.com/Quietpower_files/QuietPower-48.pdf



Amplifier options for small-signal amplifiers

- High input impedance, no CMRR (left)
- High CMRR, low input impedance (middle)
- High CMRR, high input impedance (right)



"Preamplifier Options for Reducing Cable-Braid Loop Error," http://www.electrical-integrity.com/Quietpower_files/QuietPower-48.pdf



When using amplifiers, be aware

- High CMRR will suppress built-in bias (use on undriven port)
- Active DUT and built-in bias may drive the amplifier to saturation
- Opening up the cable braid loop may introduce mid- and high-frequency resonances

When using transient loads, be aware

 Large-area drive raises questions about where and how the response is obtained



"Impact of Finite Interconnect Impedance Including Spatial and Domain Comparison of PDN Characterization," DesignCon 2024

Cable braid detail





Tip 3: Reducing Cable Braid Error with Absorbers

At medium and high frequencies

- finite surface transfer impedance and direct shield leakage
- shield resonances

will become the limit

Use ferrite absorbers to address both





"Measuring Milliohms and PicoHenrys in Power Distribution Networks," DesignCon 2000

"Overview of Frequency-Domain Power-Distribution Measurements," DesignCon East 2003



Reducing Cable Braid Error; Further Options



- Works well with passive DUTs
- Be careful with
 - DC bias
 - Active DUTs

"Measuring Milliohms and Picohenrys," DesignCon 2000

Eliminating Ground Loop Transformer Differential amplifier







Happens in SI, Too

Introduction

- Illustration of cable braid error in SI measurements
- All crosstalk measurements are prone to this error
- DUT: coupled microstrip traces



"How the Braid Impedance of Instrumentation Cables Impact PI and SI Measurements," DesignCon 2019



Summary

Cable-braid error becomes more important as we need to measure sub-milliohm impedance

Cable-braid error can be mitigated by

- Differential amplifiers
- Locally amplifying the test signal
- Common-mode transformers
- Ferrite absorbers



samlec gEEk spEEk



samtec.com/geekspeek



 \searrow

geekspeek@samtec.com

SIG@samtec.com